



Appendix H: Prioritized Projects

Appendix H: Prioritized Projects

Appendix H: Prioritized Projects



TABLE H-1: PROJECTS RANKED BY PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA SCORES

Project	Proposed Improvements ¹	Miles	Cost	Prioritization Criteria Scores							
				M	S	G	E	T	P	A	Total
Central Avenue	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair. Also, improve the streetscape between the Ohlone Greenway and Ashbury Avenue.	0.9	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	14
	Implement planned improvements to the Ohlone Greenway crossing at Plaza BART Station through the OBAG-funded grant improvement		OBAG funded								
Cerrito Creek Trail/ BART to Bay Bicycle and Pedestrian Route (Detailed Project 1, Figures 5-1a, 5-1b, 5-1c, 5-1d, 5-1e, and 5- 1f)	Work with the City of Richmond to extend the trail to Pierce Street, install a Class I Path underneath the I-80, and improve Bay Trail crossings and access at Central Avenue/ Rydin Road by installing a traffic light	0.5	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	14
	Work with El Cerrito Plaza developers to create a clear bicycle and pedestrian route through the Plaza, connecting with Carlson Boulevard										
	Look for opportunities to widen the existing path between Santa Clara Avenue and Adams Street										
	Improve crosswalk frequency with high-visibility crosswalk enhanced with RRFBs or pedestrian hybrid beacons (PHBs) at San Diego Street, Fairmount Avenue, and Adams Street/Cerrito Creek (phased with City of Albany proposed Cerrito Creek Path/Adams Street bridge improvements)										
	Reduce crossing distances at existing high-visibility crosswalks on Lassen Street with curb extensions										
	Enhance trailhead at Adams Street and coordinate with the City of Albany to connect with the proposed Adams Street Bridge over Cerrito Creek										

M=Mode Split, S=Safety, G=Gap Closure, E=Economic Development, T=Access to Transit, P=Consistency with Adopted Plans, A=Improves Accessibility. Criteria are described in Chapter 5 Projects.

1. Project costs for pedestrian projects were calculated on an order-of magnitude basis to understand planning-level costs. Projects were assigned a ranking of \$ (<\$50,000), \$\$ (\$50,000-\$200,000), \$\$\$ (\$200,000-500,000), \$\$\$\$ (>\$500,000).

2. An additional 0.8 miles of improvements are located in Richmond

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2016.



Appendix H: Prioritized Projects

TABLE H-1: PROJECTS RANKED BY PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA SCORES

Project	Proposed Improvements ¹	Miles	Cost	Prioritization Criteria Scores								
				M	S	G	E	T	P	A	Total	
Hill Street	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	0.2	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	14
	Improve intersection at Key Boulevard/Hill Street/Elm Street											
	Improve intersection at San Pablo Avenue/Hill Street/Eastshore Boulevard and stripe all crosswalks per the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan and Complete Streets Plan											
Cutting Boulevard	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	0.9	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	14
	Improve intersection at San Pablo Avenue and stripe all crossings per the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan and Complete Streets Plan		\$\$\$									
	Implement planned pedestrian improvements to the Ohlone Greenway crossing and Greenway alignment near del Norte BART and through OBAG-funded grant project		OBAG funded									
Fairmount Avenue (Detailed Project 8, Figures 5-8a and 5-8b)	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair. Also, improve the streetscape between Carlson Boulevard and San Pablo Avenue.	0.7	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	14
	Install raised crosswalks between Richmond Street and Ashbury Street											
	Install RRFBs, mark high-visibility crosswalk, and install median refuges and curb extensions at Fairmount Avenue/Carlson Boulevard											
	Implement the planned pedestrian intersection improvement projects on Fairmount near Plaza BART through the OBAG-funded grant project											

M=Mode Split, S=Safety, G=Gap Closure, E=Economic Development, T=Access to Transit, P=Consistency with Adopted Plans, A=Improves Accessibility. Criteria are described in Chapter 5 Projects.

1. Project costs for pedestrian projects were calculated on an order-of magnitude basis to understand planning-level costs. Projects were assigned a ranking of \$ (<\$50,000), \$\$ (\$50,000-\$200,000), \$\$\$ (\$200,000-500,000), \$\$\$\$ (>\$500,000).

2. An additional 0.8 miles of improvements are located in Richmond

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2016.

Appendix H: Prioritized Projects



TABLE H-1: PROJECTS RANKED BY PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA SCORES

Project	Proposed Improvements ¹	Miles	Cost	Prioritization Criteria Scores								
				M	S	G	E	T	P	A	Total	
Potrero Avenue	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	0.8	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	14
San Pablo Avenue	Implement the pedestrian improvements in the San Pablo Avenue Specific Plan and Complete Streets Plan	2.5	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	14
	Improve crosswalk frequency and reduce crossing distances											
Eastshore Boulevard	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	0.2	\$\$	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	13
Ohlone Greenway (Detailed Project 2, Table 5-1, Figures 5-2a and 5-2b)	Improve crossings per Ohlone Greenway Master Plan Design Guidelines, Table 5-1, and Figure 5-2b, which detail proposed improvements, such as flashing beacons, curb extensions, triple-four trail crossings, median refuges, and yield-control for Greenway users.	2.6	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	13
	Improve connections between Ohlone Greenway and El Cerrito Plaza		\$\$\$									
	Implement crossing improvements and path improvements at Del Norte and Plaza BART Stations as part of OBAG-funded project		OBAG funded									
	Complete connection to Richmond Greenway per the joint Richmond/Ohlone Greenway Gap Closure Project, which includes a signalized crossing of San Pablo Ave (funded)		\$\$\$\$ (Plan- ned & Fund- ed, Rich- mond lead)									

M=Mode Split, S=Safety, G=Gap Closure, E=Economic Development, T=Access to Transit, P=Consistency with Adopted Plans, A=Improves Accessibility. Criteria are described in Chapter 5 Projects.

1. Project costs for pedestrian projects were calculated on an order-of magnitude basis to understand planning-level costs. Projects were assigned a ranking of \$ (<\$50,000), \$\$ (\$50,000-\$200,000), \$\$\$ (\$200,000-500,000), \$\$\$\$ (>\$500,000).

2. An additional 0.8 miles of improvements are located in Richmond

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2016.



Appendix H: Prioritized Projects

TABLE H-1: PROJECTS RANKED BY PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA SCORES

Project	Proposed Improvements ¹	Miles	Cost	Prioritization Criteria Scores							
				M	S	G	E	T	P	A	Total
Stockton Avenue	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair and improve the streetscape	0.4	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	13
Carlson Boulevard	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	0.4	\$\$\$	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	12
Moeser Lane	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	1.3	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	12
Key Boulevard (Detailed Project 7, Figures 5-7a and 5-7b)	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	0.6	\$\$\$\$	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	12
	Sidewalk extension and path improvements at Baxter Creek. Accessibility upgrades. Intersection improvements at Elm and Conlon.										
	Install various pedestrian improvements at intersection with Knott Avenue, Cutting Boulevard, Humboldt Street, and Conlon Avenue										
	Reduce crossing distance at Liberty Street intersection with curb extension										
	Install sidewalk extensions on the east and west sides of Key Boulevard between Humboldt Street and Conlon Avenue to maintain consistent curb-to-curb width										
	Stripe crosswalks at Humboldt Street and Conlon Avenue										
	Create a new gateway to Baxter Park and the Ohlone Greenway.										
	Improve signalized pedestrian crosswalks at Key Boulevard/Elm Street/Hill Street intersection										

M=Mode Split, S=Safety, G=Gap Closure, E=Economic Development, T=Access to Transit, P=Consistency with Adopted Plans, A=Improves Accessibility. Criteria are described in Chapter 5 Projects.

1. Project costs for pedestrian projects were calculated on an order-of magnitude basis to understand planning-level costs. Projects were assigned a ranking of \$ (<\$50,000), \$\$ (\$50,000-\$200,000), \$\$\$ (\$200,000-500,000), \$\$\$\$ (>\$500,000).

2. An additional 0.8 miles of improvements are located in Richmond

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2016.

Appendix H: Prioritized Projects



TABLE H-1: PROJECTS RANKED BY PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA SCORES

Project	Proposed Improvements ¹	Miles	Cost	Prioritization Criteria Scores							
				M	S	G	E	T	P	A	Total
Richmond/Elm Street	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	2.0	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	12
	Improve intersection crossings for pedestrians and the streetscape										
Hill Street	Install Class I path between San Pablo Avenue and the Ohlone Greenway	0.09	\$\$\$	2	1	2	2	2	2	0	11
San Pablo Avenue	Install parking-separated cycle tracks between Potrero Avenue and Lincoln Avenue	1.28	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	2	1	2	0	11
Schmidt Lane	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair. Also, improve the streetscape between the Ohlone Greenway and the Recycling Center.	0.6	\$\$\$\$	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	11
Arlington Boulevard (Detailed Project 4, Figures 5-4a and 5-4b)	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk installation, reconstruction, and repair and connecting to Arlington Park, Madera School, and Mira Vista Country Club	2.4	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	0	1	1	2	10
	Work with AC Transit to improve accessibility of bus stops										
	Reduce crossing distances, narrow roadway to prevent autos passing each other at intersections, and improve sight distance at intersections with curb extensions/corner radii tightening at: Potrero Avenue, Brewster Drive (east side), Buckingham Drive (all corners), Thors Bay Road, Villa Nueva Drive, Don Carol Drive, and Moeser Lane (NW and NE corners)										
	Work with property owners to maintain hedges and other vegetation that obscures visibility to/from side streets										

M=Mode Split, S=Safety, G=Gap Closure, E=Economic Development, T=Access to Transit, P=Consistency with Adopted Plans, A=Improves Accessibility. Criteria are described in Chapter 5 Projects.

1. Project costs for pedestrian projects were calculated on an order-of magnitude basis to understand planning-level costs. Projects were assigned a ranking of \$ (<\$50,000), \$\$ (\$50,000-\$200,000), \$\$\$ (\$200,000-500,000), \$\$\$\$ (>\$500,000).

2. An additional 0.8 miles of improvements are located in Richmond

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2016.



Appendix H: Prioritized Projects

TABLE H-1: PROJECTS RANKED BY PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA SCORES

Project	Proposed Improvements ¹	Miles	Cost	Prioritization Criteria Scores							
				M	S	G	E	T	P	A	Total
Arlington Boulevard (Detailed Project 4, Figures 5-4a and 5-4b)	Conduct Stop-warrant analysis at multiple locations on Arlington and consider installing all-way stop control to control traffic along corridor and improve pedestrian safety at crosswalks	2.4	\$\$\$\$	2	2	2	0	1	1	2	10
	Install Yield Here to Pedestrian signs and advanced yield markings on all uncontrolled crosswalks										
	Evaluate driver-yielding compliance at all existing uncontrolled crosswalks to determine if additional enhancements, such as RRFBs and/or traffic calming devices should be considered										
	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows	2.4	\$\$	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	6
Central Avenue	Stripe and sign Class II Bicycle Lanes between Carlson Boulevard and San Pablo Avenue	0.08	\$	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	10
Eastshore Boulevard	Stripe and sign Class II Buffered Bicycle Lanes	0.18	\$\$	2	2	1	2	2	1	0	10
Lincoln Avenue	Stripe and sign bicycle boulevard and install traffic calming improvements.	0.53	\$\$\$\$	2	2	1	2	2	1	0	10
Manila Avenue	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	0.6	\$\$\$	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	10
San Pablo Avenue	Stripe and sign Class II Bicycle Lanes between Wall Avenue and Potrero Avenue	0.57	\$	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	10
	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with green-backed sharrows between Lincoln Avenue and southern city limit	0.69	\$\$	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	10
Schmidt Lane	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between San Pablo Avenue and Navellier Street	0.6	\$	2	1	2	2	2	1	0	10

M=Mode Split, S=Safety, G=Gap Closure, E=Economic Development, T=Access to Transit, P=Consistency with Adopted Plans, A=Improves Accessibility. Criteria are described in Chapter 5 Projects.

1. Project costs for pedestrian projects were calculated on an order-of magnitude basis to understand planning-level costs. Projects were assigned a ranking of \$ (<\$50,000), \$\$ (\$50,000-\$200,000), \$\$\$ (\$200,000-500,000), \$\$\$\$ (>\$500,000).

2. An additional 0.8 miles of improvements are located in Richmond

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2016.

Appendix H: Prioritized Projects



TABLE H-1: PROJECTS RANKED BY PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA SCORES

Project	Proposed Improvements ¹	Miles	Cost	Prioritization Criteria Scores							
				M	S	G	E	T	P	A	Total
Ashbury Avenue	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	1.2	\$\$\$\$	2	0	2	1	1	1	2	9
Colusa Avenue	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction, repair and installation	0.9	\$\$\$\$	2	0	2	1	1	1	2	9
Fairmount Avenue (Detailed Project 8, Figures 5-8a and 5-8b)	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with green-backed sharrows	0.74	\$\$\$	0	2	1	2	2	2	0	9
Hill Street	Stripe and sign Class II Bicycle Lane between Ohlone Greenway and El Street	0.14	\$\$	0	1	2	2	2	2	0	9
Public Trails (Existing Impassable Trails)	Improve all impassable trails within the City of El Cerrito right-of-way to provide accessible trails per Figure 4-1.	1	\$\$\$	2	2	2	0	0	1	2	9
Hillside Pathways and Stairs	Expand, improve and maintain paths/stairs, including the provision of handrails and posting signs	-	\$\$\$	2	2	2	0	0	1	1	8
	Complete steps at the bottom of the Motorcycle Hill Trail	-	\$								
Key Boulevard (Detailed Project 7, Figures 5-7a and 5-7b)	Enhance striping and signing of Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows	0.32	\$	0	1	2	2	2	1	0	8

M=Mode Split, S=Safety, G=Gap Closure, E=Economic Development, T=Access to Transit, P=Consistency with Adopted Plans, A=Improves Accessibility. Criteria are described in Chapter 5 Projects.

1. Project costs for pedestrian projects were calculated on an order-of-magnitude basis to understand planning-level costs. Projects were assigned a ranking of \$ (<\$50,000), \$\$ (\$50,000-\$200,000), \$\$\$ (\$200,000-500,000), \$\$\$\$ (>\$500,000).

2. An additional 0.8 miles of improvements are located in Richmond

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2016.



Appendix H: Prioritized Projects

TABLE H-1: PROJECTS RANKED BY PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA SCORES

Project	Proposed Improvements ¹	Miles	Cost	Prioritization Criteria Scores							
				M	S	G	E	T	P	A	Total
Potrero Avenue (Detailed Project 9, Figures 5-9a and 5-9b)	Stripe and sign Class II Bicycle Lanes between western city limit and Ohlone Greenway	0.4	\$	0	2	2	2	1	1	0	8
	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Ohlone Greenway and Navellier Street	0.4	\$								
Stockton Avenue	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Ohlone Greenway and Terrace Drive	0.28	\$	0	2	2	2	1	1	0	8
Barrett Avenue	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair and improve the streetscape	0.8	\$\$\$	0	1	2	0	1	1	2	7
East Side Bicycle Boulevard (Detailed Projects 5 and 6, Figures 5-5a, 5-5b, 5-6a, and 5-6b)	Stripe and sign bicycle boulevard with traffic calming on various roadways including Blake Street beginning at San Pablo Avenue to Norvell Street to Schmidt Lane to Richmond Street to Moeser Lane to Norvell Street to Lincoln Avenue to Albemarle Street to Fairmount Avenue to Behrens Street to southern city limit. Also, install bicycle boulevard wayfinding.	2.22	\$\$\$\$	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	7
Kearney Street (Figure F-1)	Stripe and sign bicycle boulevard and install traffic calming improvements between Moeser Lane and Fairmount Avenue	0.82	\$\$\$\$	2	0	1	2	2	0	0	7
Manila Avenue	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Ohlone Greenway and San Pablo Avenue	0.09	\$	0	0	2	2	2	1	0	7
Navellier Street	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	0.9	\$\$\$\$	0	0	2	1	1	1	2	7

M=Mode Split, S=Safety, G=Gap Closure, E=Economic Development, T=Access to Transit, P=Consistency with Adopted Plans, A=Improves Accessibility. Criteria are described in Chapter 5 Projects.

1. Project costs for pedestrian projects were calculated on an order-of magnitude basis to understand planning-level costs. Projects were assigned a ranking of \$ (<\$50,000), \$\$ (\$50,000-\$200,000), \$\$\$ (\$200,000-500,000), \$\$\$\$ (>\$500,000).

2. An additional 0.8 miles of improvements are located in Richmond

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2016.

Appendix H: Prioritized Projects



TABLE H-1: PROJECTS RANKED BY PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA SCORES

Project	Proposed Improvements ¹	Miles	Cost	Prioritization Criteria Scores							
				M	S	G	E	T	P	A	Total
Cutting Boulevard	Stripe and sign Class II Bicycle Lanes between Ohlone Greenway and San Pablo Avenue	0.06	\$	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	6
	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Ohlone Greenway and Hagen Boulevard	0.44	\$								
Knott Boulevard	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Ohlone Greenway and San Pablo Avenue	0.06	\$	0	0	1	2	2	1	0	6
Navellier Street	Provide an accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction, and repair	0.9	\$\$\$	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	6
Park Trail Connectors	Improve and maintain sidewalks, hillside paths/stairs, and fire trails	4.7	\$\$\$	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	6
	Provide signage, including mileage, along trail corridors										
Blake Street	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Norvell Street and Navellier Street	0.17	\$	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	5
Colusa Avenue	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows. Consider an all-way stop and other traffic control devices at the intersection of Colusa Avenue/Terrace Avenue to facilitate bicycle travel on and to/from Colusa.	1.1	\$\$	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	5
Elm Street	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Hill Street and Cutting Boulevard	0.13	\$	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	5
Terrace Drive	Provide accessible, safe and comfortable path of travel for pedestrians through sidewalk reconstruction and repair	1.1	\$\$\$\$	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	5
Portola Avenue	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Ohlone Greenway and San Pablo Avenue	0.11	\$	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	4

M=Mode Split, S=Safety, G=Gap Closure, E=Economic Development, T=Access to Transit, P=Consistency with Adopted Plans, A=Improves Accessibility. Criteria are described in Chapter 5 Projects.

1. Project costs for pedestrian projects were calculated on an order-of magnitude basis to understand planning-level costs. Projects were assigned a ranking of \$ (<\$50,000), \$\$ (\$50,000-\$200,000), \$\$\$ (\$200,000-500,000), \$\$\$\$ (>\$500,000).

2. An additional 0.8 miles of improvements are located in Richmond

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2016.



Appendix H: Prioritized Projects

TABLE H-1: PROJECTS RANKED BY PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA SCORES

Project	Proposed Improvements ¹	Miles	Cost	Prioritization Criteria Scores							
				M	S	G	E	T	P	A	Total
Barrett Avenue	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows	0.79	\$	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	3
Hagen Boulevard	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Cutting Boulevard and Mira Vista Drive	0.06	\$	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
Rifle Range Road	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows	0.48	\$	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
Avis Drive	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows	0.22	\$	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Bates Avenue	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows	0.16	\$	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Carmel Avenue	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows	0.1	\$	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Ganges Avenue	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Fairview Drive and Wilson Way	0.28	\$	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Mira Vista Drive	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Hagen Boulevard and Barrett Avenue	0.51	\$	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Navellier Street	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows	1.05	\$\$	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Roberta Avenue	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows	0.08	\$	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Terrace Drive	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows	1.45	\$\$	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Waldo Avenue	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows between Ohlone Greenway and San Pablo Avenue	0.13	\$	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
Wilson Way	Stripe and sign Class III Bicycle Route with Sharrows	0.14	\$	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2

M=Mode Split, S=Safety, G=Gap Closure, E=Economic Development, T=Access to Transit, P=Consistency with Adopted Plans, A=Improves Accessibility. Criteria are described in Chapter 5 Projects.

1. Project costs for pedestrian projects were calculated on an order-of magnitude basis to understand planning-level costs. Projects were assigned a ranking of \$ (<\$50,000), \$\$ (\$50,000-\$200,000), \$\$\$ (\$200,000-500,000), \$\$\$\$ (>\$500,000).

2. An additional 0.8 miles of improvements are located in Richmond

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2016.

Appendix H: Prioritized Projects



TABLE H-1: PROJECTS RANKED BY PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA SCORES

Project	Proposed Improvements ¹	Miles	Cost	Prioritization Criteria Scores							
				M	S	G	E	T	P	A	Total
All Intersections	Install pedestrian countdown heads and update signal timings to 3.5 feet/second or current MUTCD standards at signalized intersections and update curb ramps and pedestrian signals to current ADA standards at all intersections; install bicycle detection at all signals	-	\$-\$\$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carlson Boulevard	Complete a bikeway feasibility study looking at a cycle track on Carlson Boulevard between the Richmond border and San Pablo Avenue	-	\$\$\$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Citywide Wayfinding (Detailed Project 3, Figures 5-3a and 5-3b)	Install bicycle and pedestrian destination wayfinding	-	\$\$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hillside Pathways and Stairs	Maintain GIS map of all paths and stairs within the public right-of-way	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

M=Mode Split, S=Safety, G=Gap Closure, E=Economic Development, T=Access to Transit, P=Consistency with Adopted Plans, A=Improves Accessibility. Criteria are described in Chapter 5 Projects.

1. Project costs for pedestrian projects were calculated on an order-of-magnitude basis to understand planning-level costs. Projects were assigned a ranking of \$ (<\$50,000), \$\$ (\$50,000-\$200,000), \$\$\$ (\$200,000-500,000), \$\$\$\$ (>\$500,000).

2. An additional 0.8 miles of improvements are located in Richmond

Source: Fehr & Peers, 2016.